

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/830,164	04/21/2004	Srikanth R. Avadhanam	MS167378.02/40062.128USC1 8149	
Attention: Hom	7590 12/18/2007 ner L. Knearl		EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD P.C. P.O. Box 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			PHAM, KHANH B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2166		
			·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Date of Interview: 14 December 2007. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ Claim(s) discussed: 1-28. Identification of prior art discussed: Gupta (US 6,438,562 B1) and Blank (US 5,842,208 A). Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representatives explained the claimed invention and discuss the amendment filed 11/28/2007. The examiner submitted that the amendment appears to overcome the 101 rejection of claims 1-26. However, the claims are still rejected as being unpatentable over Gupta in view of Blank. Applicants will consider further amendment to the claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Khanh B. Pham, Examiner. (3) Andy Pouzeshi, Applicant's Representative. (2) Gregory Leibold, Applicant's Representative. (4)	Interview Summary	10/830,164	AVADHANAM ET AL.			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Khanh B. Pham, Examiner. (2) Gregory Leibold, Applicant's Representative. (3) Andy Pouzeshi, Applicant's Representative. (4)	interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
(1) Khanh B. Pham. Examiner. (2) Gregory Leibold, Applicant's Representative. (3) Andy Pouzeshi, Applicant's Representative. (4)		Khanh B. Pham	2166			
(2) Gregory Leibold, Applicant's Representative. (4)	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
Date of Interview: 14 December 2007. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:	(1) <u>Khanh B. Pham, Examiner</u> .	(3) <u>Andy Pouzeshi, Applica</u>	nt's Representative.			
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Ps e) No. If Yes, brief description:	(2) Gregory Leibold, Applicant's Representative.					
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) □ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes e) □ No. If Yes, brief description: □ Claim(s) discussed: 1-28. Identification of prior art discussed: Gupta (US 6,438,562 B1) and Blank (US 5,842,208 A). Agreement with respect to the claims f) □ was reached. g) □ was not reached. h) □ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representatives explained the claimed invention and discuss the amendment filed 11/28/2007. The examiner submitted that the amendment appears to overcome the 101 rejection of claims 1-26. However, the claims are still rejected as being unpatentable over Gupta in view of Blank. Applicants will consider further amendment to the claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, a summary thereof must be attached.) (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) (A fuller description, if necessary and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, a summary thereof must be attached.) (A fuller description, if necessary and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) (B fuller description, if necessary and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, and the claims allowable is available. (B fuller description in the claims are still rejection of the presence of the claims allowable in the claims all	Date of Interview: <u>14 December 2007</u> .					
If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1-28. Identification of prior art discussed: Gupta (US 6,438,562 B1) and Blank (US 5,842,208 A). Agreement with respect to the claims ↑ was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representatives explained the claimed invention and discuss the amendment filed 11/28/2007. The examiner submitted that the amendment appears to overcome the 101 rejection of claims 1-26. However, the claims are still rejected as being unpatentable over Gupta in view of Blank. Applicants will consider further amendment to the claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. **WHANH B. PHAM** **PRIMARY EXAMINER** Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an		2)∐ applicant's representative	<u>.</u>			
Identification of prior art discussed: Gupta (US 6,438,562 B1) and Blank (US 5,842,208 A). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) NA. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representatives explained the claimed invention and discuss the amendment filed 11/28/2007. The examiner submitted that the amendment appears to overcome the 101 rejection of claims 1-26. However, the claims are still rejected as being unpatentable over Gupta in view of Blank, Applicants will consider further amendment to the claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. KHANH B. PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an		e)⊠ No.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representatives explained the claimed invention and discuss the amendment filed 11/28/2007. The examiner submitted that the amendment appears to overcome the 101 rejection of claims 1-26. However, the claims are still rejected as being unpatentable over Gupta in view of Blank. Applicants will consider further amendment to the claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-28</u> .	*				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representatives explained the claimed invention and discuss the amendment filed 11/28/2007. The examiner submitted that the amendment appears to overcome the 101 rejection of claims 1-26. However, the claims are still rejected as being unpatentable over Gupta in view of Blank. Applicants will consider further amendment to the claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. KHANH B. PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Identification of prior art discussed: Gupta (US 6,438,562 B1) and Blank (US 5,842,208 A).					
reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representatives explained the claimed invention and discuss the amendment filed 11/28/2007. The examiner submitted that the amendment appears to overcome the 101 rejection of claims 1-26. However, the claims are still rejected as being unpatentable over Gupta in view of Blank. Applicants will consider further amendment to the claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. KHANH B. PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	ı)⊠ was not reached. h)⊟ N	I/A.			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representatives explained the claimed invention and discuss the amendment filed 11/28/2007. The examiner submitted that the amendment appears to overcome the 101 rejection of claims 1-26. However, the claims are still rejected as being unpatentable over Gupta in view of Blank. Applicants will consider further amendment to the claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an		KHANH I	B. PHAM			